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INTRODUCTION AND PETITIONED ACTION

With only about 340 North Atlantic right whales (Eubalaena glacialis) left on Earth, the species is approaching extinction. After centuries of whaling, the right whale had nearly been eradicated by the early twentieth century. Despite some population gains since whaling ceased, the species’ future is again in doubt because humans are killing right whales faster than the whales can reproduce. The population has decreased by an astonishing 30 percent in the last decade—a wholly unsustainable rate of loss.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (“NMFS”) has repeatedly described the right whale’s situation as a “conservation crisis” and acknowledges that the species’ very survival depends on protecting every individual whale. Yet the agency estimates that humans are causing the deaths of roughly 30 right whales per year.

Vessel strikes are responsible for killing and seriously injuring right whales each year. The collisions kill or injure right whales by causing blunt force trauma resulting in fractures, hemorrhage, and/or blood clots. Sharp force trauma, including direct propeller strikes, can result in fatal blood loss, lacerations, and/or amputations. In addition to killing whales, nonlethal collisions may weaken or otherwise adversely affect right whales such that they are more likely to succumb to subsequent injury or death. For example, one female right whale died as a result of an infection suffered when her pregnancy opened wounds from a vessel strike she received 14 years prior. In other words, although vessels in U.S. waters are no longer used to hunt right whales deliberately, they are nevertheless still deadly to the species and pushing it closer to the brink of extinction.

Pregnant females and mothers with nursing calves are especially at risk of vessel strikes because they spend most of their time near the surface of the water. They are also the demographics most critical to the species’ survival and recovery. NMFS has determined that due to the high level of mortality and serious injury the species is forced to endure, approximately 50 or more calves need to be born each year, for several years, to stop the population decline and allow for recovery. Calving rates over the last decade have been far, far lower. The risk of vessel strikes of mothers and calves is especially high in the waters of the Southeastern United States—the species’ only known calving grounds—as tragically demonstrated in 2021 by the death by vessel strikes in the calving grounds of a calf and the serious injury of its first-time mother who has not been resighted since.

The scientific evidence demonstrates that reducing vessel speeds when right whales are present or likely to be so is the most effective way to reduce vessel strike risk. While NMFS has taken a step in the right direction by proposing to expand the protections of the current ship speed rule, simply proposing a rule does nothing to protect the whales on the water. The most vulnerable right whales whose survival is critical to the species’ continued existence desperately need more protections—and need them now. Indeed, NMFS itself has stated that the proposed expansion of the ship speed rule is “essential to stabilize the ongoing right whale population decline and prevent the species’ extinction.”

Accordingly, pursuant to the right to petition the government provided by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution\(^2\) and the Administrative Procedure Act,\(^3\) as well as the Endangered Species Act and Marine Mammal Protection Act that authorize NMFS to promulgate regulations to protect and recover the right whale, the Center for Biological Diversity, Conservation Law Foundation, Defenders of Wildlife, and Whale and Dolphin Conservation hereby petition the U.S. Department of Commerce, through NMFS, to **immediately implement an emergency interim final rule to protect right whale mothers and calves in the calving grounds during the upcoming calving season and until such time as NMFS finalizes the proposed rule.**

Specifically, NMFS should immediately implement each element of the proposed rule that would apply in the right whale’s calving grounds, including:

- The proposed Southeast, South Carolina, and North Carolina Seasonal Speed Zones;
- Making compliance with any dynamic speed zones in the calving grounds mandatory, though NMFS should expand the trigger for such measures to include the observation or detection of one or more right whales to ensure pregnant females as well as mothers with calves benefit from this measure as a trigger of three or more whales is insufficient; and
- Applying the rule to most vessels 35 feet in length and greater operating in the calving grounds during the time of year when seasonal speed zones are in place.

NMFS should promulgate this emergency rule by no later than November 15, 2022—the beginning of the right whale calving season. The rule should remain in place until NMFS finalizes the proposed vessel speed rule. The species’ dire status—and NMFS’s legal obligations to protect and recover this beleaguered species—demand nothing less.

**VESSEL STRIKES ARE THREATENING THE SURVIVAL AND RECOVERY OF CRITICALLY ENDANGERED RIGHT WHALES**

The North Atlantic right whale is one of the world’s most endangered whales. Despite protections under both the Endangered Species Act ("ESA") and Marine Mammal Protection Act ("MMPA") since 1973\(^4\) and 1972,\(^5\) respectively, the species has not recovered and remains at dangerously low numbers. The population has declined by 30 percent in the last decade and calving rates have also significantly decreased.\(^6\) Scientists have estimated that there are only about 70 breeding females left in the population.\(^7\)

---

\(^2\) U.S. Const. amend. I; see also *United Mine Workers v. Ill. State Bar Ass’n*, 389 U.S. 217, 222 (1967) (explaining that the right “to petition for a redress of grievances [is] among the most precious of the liberties safeguarded by the Bill of Rights”).

\(^3\) 5 U.S.C. § 553(e).


\(^5\) Right whales have been listed as a “depleted” species under the MMPA since 1973 (16 U.S.C. § 1362(1); 38 Fed. Reg. 20,564, 20,570 (Aug. 1, 1973)) and are considered a “strategic” species under the MMPA. 16 U.S.C. § 1362(19).


In 2019, NMFS assigned the right whale “a recovery priority #1,” meaning its “extinction is almost certain in the immediate future” absent intervention. And in July 2020, the International Union for the Conservation of Nature reclassified the North Atlantic right whale from “endangered” to “critically endangered”—the last category before “extinct in the wild.” The U.S. has the dubious distinction of being home to the only two large whale species on the planet so classified.

NMFS has referred to the species’ situation as a “conservation crisis” and acknowledges that “protecting every individual is a top priority” because “[r]ight whales cannot withstand continued losses of mature females—we have reached a critical point.” It has further acknowledged that “[e]very single female North Atlantic right whale and calf are vital to this species’ recovery.”

NMFS has concluded that the declining population and high mortality level mean that “approximately 50 or more calves would need to be born per year over many years to stabilize the population decline and allow for recovery.”

The population is so low that “even one additional death a year increases the odds that the right whale will go extinct.” Yet in 2020 and 2021, vessel strikes in U.S. waters alone killed or seriously injured at least four right whales, including a reproductive female.

Vessel strikes are one of the two most significant threats to individual animals and to the species overall. NMFS considers “[m]inimizing the risk of vessel strikes (from both small and large vessels) . . . critical to improving right whale survival.”

---

12 Id.; NMFS, Draft Environmental Assessment for Amendments to the North Atlantic Right Whale Vessel Strike Reduction Rule (July 2022) at 12.
13 Dist. 4 Lodge of the Int’l Ass’n of Machinists and Aerospace Workers Local Lodge 207 v. Raimondo, 40 F.4th 36, 39 (1st Cir. 2022) (citation omitted).
Vessel strikes cause mortality and serious injury, along with sublethal effects that can weaken or otherwise harm right whales and make them more vulnerable to subsequent injury or death.17 Right whales are particularly vulnerable to vessel strikes because their habitat requirements and coastal migration necessitate their use of waters heavily traversed by shipping traffic, and their feeding, resting, and socializing behaviors bring them to the surface quite often.18 This is particularly true for females with calves; “the proportion of known vessel strike events involving females, calves, and juveniles is higher than their representation in the population.”19

Mothers with calves are at especially increased risk of collisions with vessels because they often rest and nurse in nearshore habitats or near the surface of the water, “particularly in the Southeast calving area.”20 Calving females spend the longest amount of time of any demographic in the calving grounds, an average of roughly three months of the year.21 Calves are also particularly vulnerable to vessel strikes given their small size and because they must surface frequently to breathe and spend a considerable amount of time nursing near the water surface.22

As the species’ only known calving grounds “lies off the U.S. Southeast Coast within the South Atlantic Bight between northern Florida and North Carolina,” the United States “bears sole stewardship responsibility for young right whale calves during this vulnerable life stage.”23

NMFS HAS THE LEGAL AUTHORITY AND OBLIGATION TO GRANT THE PETITIONED ACTION

Both the ESA and the MMPA mandate that NMFS protect and recover right whales. To meet these statutory mandates, NMFS must ensure that right whales are protected from one of the primary threats to their continued existence—vessel strikes. Granting the petitioned action to ensure that pregnant females and mothers and calves are immediately protected this calving season and until the proposed rule is finalized is a vital step toward doing so.

The species’ dire status, coupled with the impending start of the next calving season, necessitates emergency action. NMFS has ample authority to issue an emergency interim final rule. The Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”), for example, allows agencies to bypass the normal notice-and-comment process for “good cause,” namely when the agency finds that “notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.”24 An agency can also make a rule immediately effective, rather than wait at least 30 days before doing so, “for good cause found.”25 Here, NMFS would not even have to bypass the notice and

---

18 Susan Parks, Dangerous Dining: Surface Foraging of North Atlantic Right Whales Increases Risk of Vessel Collisions, 8:1 BIOL. LETT. 57-60 (2012).
19 NMFS, Draft Environmental Assessment for Amendments to the North Atlantic Right Whale Vessel Strike Reduction Rule (July 2022) at 13.
20 Id. at 13.
21 Id.
22 Id. at 16.
23 Id. at 16.
25 Id. § 553(d).
NMFS has previously used its authority to issue an interim final rule to protect right whales from vessel strikes. Following a proposed rule to prohibit all approaches to within 500 yards of right whales in August 1996, NMFS issued an interim final rule implementing the 500-yard approach rule in February 1997.

NMFS has also previously used its authority to issue interim final rules to protect right whales from entanglements in commercial fishing gear, finding that any further delay would be contrary to the public interest in protecting and recovering these whales.

NMFS should now conclude the same and use its emergency authority to protect the right whale from its other existential threat: vessel strikes. Indeed, it is hard to think of a conservation situation more deserving of emergency action, particularly given the unique vulnerability of right whale mothers and calves to vessel strikes and the overwhelming information demonstrating that slowing vessels down protects right whales from deadly collisions.

A. The Endangered Species Act

Enacted in 1973, the ESA is a broad statutory scheme designed to protect endangered and threatened species and conserve the habitats upon which they depend. Considered “the most comprehensive legislation for the preservation of endangered species ever enacted by any nation,” the ESA embodies the “plain intent” of Congress to “halt and reverse the trend toward species extinction, whatever the cost.”

To that end, Section 2(c) of the ESA establishes that it is the “policy of Congress that all Federal departments and agencies shall seek to conserve endangered species and threatened species and shall utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes of [the ESA].” Similarly, Section 7(a)(1) mandates that all federal agencies, “utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes of [the ESA] by carrying out programs for the conservation of endangered species and threatened species.” The ESA defines “conserve” as “the use of all methods and procedures which are necessary to bring any endangered species or threatened species to the point at which the measures provided pursuant to this chapter are no longer necessary.”

---

33 Id. § 1536(a)(1).
34 Id. § 1532(3).
amplifi[es] the obligation of [federal agencies] to take steps within their power to carry out the purposes of” the ESA.35

In addition, Section 4(f) specifically requires that NMFS “develop and implement plans (hereinafter . . . referred to as ‘recovery plans’) for the conservation and survival of endangered species.”36 Consistent with the intent that recovery plans actually be implemented, Congress required that recovery plans “incorporate . . . a description of such site-specific management actions as may be necessary to achieve the plan’s goal for the conservation and survival of the species.”37 The Recovery Plan for the North Atlantic right whale explicitly requires NMFS “to reduce or eliminate” mortality from vessel strikes, and concludes that “rigorous and urgent action is needed” to reduce these threats.38 Thus, for NMFS to meet its mandates under Sections 2, 4 and 7 of the ESA, the agency must take immediate action aimed at further reducing the continuing threat of right whale injury and death from vessel strikes in the calving grounds.

Additionally, collisions with vessels are not only impeding the recovery of the right whale, but the mortalities and injuries that result from such collisions are also themselves unlawful. Section 9 of the ESA prohibits the unauthorized “take” of an endangered species.39 The ESA defines take to include engaging in or attempting to engage in conduct that will “harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect” an individual of a listed species.40 Vessel strikes resulting in the injury or death of a right whale are clearly “taking” whales in violation of Section 9. The ownership, operation, and authorization of vessels resulting in take of right whales have occurred and continue to occur without any permit from NMFS authorizing such takes. NMFS must therefore regulate the operations of ships within the right whale’s habitat, including the calving grounds, to eliminate these illegal takes.41

**B. The Marine Mammal Protection Act**

As courts have recognized, the MMPA’s “primary goal” is to “[t]he interest in maintaining healthy populations of marine mammals comes first” under the statute.42 Specifically, Congress enacted the MMPA in 1972 to address the concern that “certain species and population stocks of marine mammals are, or may be, in danger of extinction or depletion as a result of man’s activities,” and ensure marine mammals are “protected and encouraged to develop to the greatest extent feasible.”43 One of the MMPA’s central purposes is to prevent marine mammal stocks from falling below their “optimum sustainable population”

---

35 *Tenn. Valley Auth.*, 437 U.S. at 183–84 (citing 119 Cong. Rec. 42913 (1973)).
37 *Id.* § 1533(f)(1)(B)(i).
38 *Recovery Plan at II.*
40 *Id.* § 1532(19). NMFS defines “harm” to include “an act which actually kills or injures fish or wildlife. Such an act may include significant habitat modification or degradation which actually kills or injures fish or wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including, breeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, feeding or sheltering.” 50 C.F.R. § 222.102.
41 *See* 16 U.S.C. § 1540(f) (authorizing NMFS to “promulgate such regulations as may be appropriate to enforce” the mandates of the ESA).
42 *Kokechik Fishermen’s Ass’n v. Sec’y of Comm.*, 839 F.2d 795, 800, 802 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (citing 16 U.S.C. § 1371(a)(2)).
level, defined as the “number of animals which will result in the maximum productivity of the population or the species[.]” The MMPA also seeks to maintain stable, functioning marine ecosystems and to protect individual animals from harm.

To achieve these goals, the MMPA establishes a “moratorium on the taking” of marine mammals, and specifically prohibits “any person . . . or any vessel or other conveyance subject to the jurisdiction of the United States to take any marine mammal on the high seas;” “any person or vessel or other conveyance to take any marine mammal in waters or on lands under the jurisdiction of the United States;” and any person from “us[ing] any port, harbor, or other place under the jurisdiction of the United States to take or import marine mammals or marine mammal products.” The statute broadly defines take to mean “to harass, hunt, capture, or kill, or attempt to harass, hunt, capture, or kill any marine mammal.”

The MMPA also requires NMFS to “prescribe such regulations as are necessary and appropriate to carry out the purposes of [the statute].”

Vessel strikes resulting in the injury, death, or harassment of a right whale are clearly “taking” whales in violation of the MMPA. Moreover, the death or serious injury of even one right whale by a collision with a vessel exceeds the whale’s potential biological removal level and will therefore, by definition, impede recovery and preclude the species from reaching its optimum sustainable population. This is particularly true if the vessel strike is of reproductive female or calf given how essential they are to the recovery of the population.

Therefore, the MMPA clearly provides the mandate for NMFS to establish additional regulatory measures designed to reduce the threat of vessel strikes within right whale habitat, including the calving grounds, and thereby effectuate the purpose of the statute. Indeed, in enacting the MMPA, Congress specifically recognized that the statute would provide the much-needed means for regulating vessels that harm marine mammals, including requiring them to slow down.

---

44 Id. § 1361(2).
45 Id. § 1362(9).
46 See e.g., id. §§ 1362(18)(A) (defining “harassment” to include acts that affect “a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild”) (emphasis added); 1372(b) (requiring that authorized take of a marine mammal be humane); Animal Welfare Institute v. Kreps, 561 F.2d 1002, 1007 (D.C. Cir. 1977) (“the MMPA is an unusual statute . . . motivated by considerations of humaneness towards animals, who are uniquely incapable of defending their own interests”).
48 Id. § 1372(a).
49 Id. § 1362(13) (emphasis added); see also id. § 1362(18)(A) (definition of “harassment” includes acts that affect “a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild”).
50 Id. § 1382(a).
51 The right whale’s current potential biological removal level is 0.7. E.g., 87 Fed. Reg. at 46,922.
52 See 1972 H.R. Rep. No. 92-707 (1972), reprinted in 1972 U.S.C.C.A.N. 4144, 4147–4150 (stating that “the operation of powerboats in areas where the manatees are found” posed a threat to manatees and, without the MMPA, “the Federal government is essentially powerless to force these boats to slow down or curtail their operations.” The MMPA “would provide the Secretary of the Interior with adequate authority to regulate or even forbid the use of powerboats in waters where manatees are found.”).
THE PETITIONED ACTION IS NECESSARY FOR THE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY OF RIGHT WHALES AS REQUIRED BY THE ESA AND MMPA

The petitioned action is necessary for the conservation and recovery of right whales as required by both the ESA and MMPA. NMFS has repeatedly stated that “[r]educing vessel speed is one of the most effective, feasible options available to reduce the likelihood of lethal outcomes from vessel collisions with right whales.”

NMFS promulgated the original ship speed rule in 2008 to “reduce the occurrence and severity of vessel collisions with North Atlantic right whales,” thereby contributing to the preservation and recovery of the species. And it stated in the current proposed rule to expand the scope of the 2008 rule that the “[c]hanges . . . are essential to stabilize the ongoing right whale population decline and prevent the species’ extinction.” Given these realities, it is no exaggeration to state that finalizing the proposed amendments before the 2023 calving season begins on November 15, 2022 is essential to the species’ prospects of survival and recovery.

A. NMFS Should Immediately Finalize the Expanded Seasonal Speed Zones in the Calving Grounds

NMFS should immediately finalize the proposed expansion of the Southeast, South Carolina, and North Carolina Seasonal Speed Zones.
As NMFS has concluded, “right whales reliably occur within the South Atlantic Bight calving ground each and every season (November through April).”\textsuperscript{56} While the number of individuals present can vary each year, “this calving, and likely mating, habitat is an essential area for right whale reproduction[].”\textsuperscript{57}

Moreover, “[t]he consistency of right whale presence (especially vulnerable mother/calf pairs) combined with high levels of vessel traffic along the U.S. Southeast Coast are the primary reasons lethal vessel strike risk in this region is best managed via seasonal static speed restriction.”\textsuperscript{58}

B. NMFS Should Immediately Make Compliance with Any Dynamic Speed Zone in the Calving Grounds Mandatory and Lower the Trigger

NMFS should immediately make compliance with any dynamic speed zone in the calving grounds off Florida, Georgia, and the Carolinas mandatory and lower the trigger for when such zones go into place.\textsuperscript{59} This would better protect whales observed or detected in the southeast outside the boundaries of the Southeast, South Carolina, and North Carolina Seasonal Speed Zones and/or outside the times of year when they are in place.

An overwhelming amount of evidence over at least the last decade demonstrates that mariners do not comply with voluntary dynamic management areas (“DMA”), such that they should be mandatory. For example, NMFS’s 2012 analysis of the ship speed rule found “that DMAs, as measured by mariner response to the voluntary measure, likely had only modest, if any, consequence in lowering the risk of vessel collisions with right whales.”\textsuperscript{60} The analysis noted that “the lack of adherence to the DMAs was due more to their voluntary nature than to a lack of awareness of the management zones.”\textsuperscript{61} NMFS’s 2017 Right Whale Status Report also notes “that compliance with the voluntary speed restrictions within DMAs was poor, with vessels showing a very modest reduction in speed that was unlikely to reduce ship strike risk significantly.”\textsuperscript{62} And a 2019 case study conducted by NMFS scientists of DMAs in place from November 2018 through April 2019 off New York found a “lack of detectable change in ships’ speed despite direct communication to operators,” leading the scientists to conclude “that

\textsuperscript{56} Id. at 46,929.
\textsuperscript{57} NMFS, Draft Environmental Assessment for Amendments to the North Atlantic Right Whale Vessel Strike Reduction Rule (July 2022) at 19.
\textsuperscript{58} Id.
\textsuperscript{59} See, e.g., NMFS, North Atlantic Right Whale Calving Season 2021, https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/endangered-species-conservation/north-atlantic-right-whale-calving-season-2021 (updated Dec. 22, 2021) (noting right whale #3593 and her calf were first signed off Lea-Hutaff Island, North Carolina); id. (defining the calving areas to include “shallow, coastal waters of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and northeastern Florida”); NMFS, North Atlantic Right Whale Calving Season 2022, https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/endangered-species-conservation/north-atlantic-right-whale-calving-season-2022 (updated Oct. 4, 2022) (noting right whale #4180 and her new calf were sighted off the coast of Corolla, North Carolina). Applying the emergency rule off North Carolina will also help migrating female whales on their way to and from the calving grounds during this critically important calving season.
\textsuperscript{60} 2012 Ship Speed Rule Analysis at 36.
\textsuperscript{61} Id. at 38.
conservation measures without consequence were not effective.” In addition, a 2020 analysis found that more than 41 percent of vessels transiting a DMA south of Nantucket traveled in speeds in excess of 10 knots with ship speeds exceeding 22 knots reported.

NMFS’s 2020 assessment of the 2008 final rule concluded that “[v]oluntary cooperation with DMAs has not proven to have a meaningful impact on vessel speed reduction” and recommended modifying the DMA program given low compliance with these voluntary measures. And the 2022 proposed rule states “that vessel cooperation levels are low, and therefore, the reduction in risk provided by the voluntary DMAs is minimal.”

In addition to making compliance with any dynamic speed zone in the calving grounds immediately effective, NMFS must also amend the proposed trigger for a dynamic speed zone (“DSZ”) to adequately protect pregnant females and mothers with calves. Under the proposed procedure, dynamic protections would only be triggered by the sighting of aggregations of three or more right whales in areas not already included in the seasonal management zones. Under this trigger, a pregnant female or a mother with a calf observed in the southeast, but outside the boundaries of an SSZ, would not benefit from this measure. Yet they are the whales most vulnerable to vessel strikes and most important to the population’s recovery. As such, the trigger for a DSZ in the calving grounds should be set at one whale to protect both pregnant females and mother-calf pairs in the calving grounds.

Additionally, for purposes of the emergency interim final rule, NMFS should not include the proposed requirement that a DSZ can be required only if NMFS determines that there is a greater than 50 percent likelihood that the whale(s) will remain within the DSZ while it is in effect. Using modeling to predict whether a whale will remain in an area will only delay protecting pregnant females and mothers and calves that are in the calving grounds but outside the temporal and/or geographic boundaries of an SSZ. Furthermore, the 50 percent likelihood protocol is risky. Confirming a visual sighting or acoustic detection of a whale followed by a decision to forgo the implementation of a DSZ will result in unnecessary risk to a species whose population is plummeting.

C. NMFS Should Immediately Extend the Speed Rule to Vessels 35 Feet in Length and Greater When Operating in the Calving Grounds

NMFS must immediately finalize its proposal to apply the rule to vessels 35 feet in length when such boats are operating off the coasts of Florida, Georgia, and South and North Carolina when the seasonal speed zones are in place. Without doing so, strike risk from vessels under 65 feet in

---


65 NMFS, North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis) Vessel Speed Rule Assessment (June 2020) at 35, 37.


67 See, e.g., id. at 46,924.
length will remain an unregulated threat to right whales despite substantial evidence demonstrating the ongoing risk.\(^{68}\)

A tragic event that occurred last calving season highlights this reality only all too well. In February 2021, a 54 foot long fishing charter vessel struck a mother-calf right whale pair off St. Augustine, Florida, killing the month-old calf outright and seriously injuring the first-time mother.\(^{69}\) Although the strike occurred within a seasonal management area, the vessel was not subject to any speed restrictions due to its size.\(^{70}\) The mother (Infinity, #3230) has not been seen since.\(^{71}\) Following the incident, scientists concluded that these incidents “underscore[] the immediacy of necessary changes to measures to include smaller vessels.”\(^{72}\)

Analyses of the 2008 ship speed rule’s efficacy found that high transit speeds of vessels under 65 feet in length are a significant source of vessel collisions with right whales. Vessels under 65 feet in length operating in U.S. waters have reported 8 right whale strikes (6 lethal; 2 non-serious injuries), as well as 6 undetermined-species large whale strikes (5 lethal) that may have involved right whales.\(^{73}\) In 7 of the 8 right whale strikes, vessel operators did not see the whale prior to impact and in some cases, vessels sustained significant damage.

Small vessel strikes to right whales also pose risk to human safety and can result in significant property damage. The 54-foot vessel involved in the 2021 collision of Infinity (#3230) and her calf off Florida could not be salvaged, resulting in a $1.2 million loss.\(^{74}\) Passengers have been knocked off their feet or thrown from the boat upon impact with a whale. In one example, a 30-foot vessel that struck a right whale on March 31, 2009, resulted in a passenger being thrown into the air and landing in the cockpit.\(^{75}\) NMFS’s proposed inclusion of vessels equal to or greater than 35 feet in length will reduce risk to vessel passengers and crew and property, as well as right whales.

\(^{68}\) Kelley, D.E., Vlasic, J.P. and Brillant, S.W., Assessing the lethality if ship strikes on whales using simple biophysical models, Marine Mammal Science, vol. 37, 251-267 (2020); NMFS, Speed Rule Assessment at 37.


\(^{70}\) NARWC 2021 Report Card at 5.

\(^{71}\) Id. at 6.

\(^{72}\) Id. at 5–6.


\(^{75}\) Bigfish123, Comment to Collision at Sea, The Hull Truth (May 1, 2009, 5:44 am), http://www.thehulltruth.com/boating-forum/222026-collision-sea.html. This September, a 28-foot charter boat struck a whale and capsized, killing five of the boat’s passengers off the coast of New Zealand. NPR, A boat capsized after a possible collision with a whale. 5 people are dead, Sept. 10, 2022, https://www.npr.org/2022/09/10/1122211388/boat-whale-new-zealand-5-dead.
CONCLUSION

The highly imperiled status of the right whale and the critical importance of mothers and calves to the recovery of the population—coupled with their unique vulnerability to vessel strikes—demands NMFS take emergency action to better project the species from the ongoing yet mitigatable threat of vessel strikes in its only known calving grounds. We urge you to immediately implement an emergency interim final rule that would apply the provisions of the proposed vessel speed rule described above to vessels in the calving grounds.
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